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Asymptotic formulas are obtained which make it possible to derive the first approximation solution of the Riccati matrix algebraic equation of special form. Method is based on Bass' formulas [1] and the theory of perturbations [2]. The problem of control of a slowly damped oscillator is investigated in detail. Formulation of the problem in this paper differs substantially from that in [3] (no assumption is made about single-frequency oscillations, and only a stationary system is considered over an infinite time interval).

1. Statement of theproblem. Motion of the controlled object is defined by the system of linear ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=F \mathbf{x}+G \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) \neq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to determine vector $u$ of control actions as a function of the phase vector $\mathbf{x}$ which minimizes the quadratic performance criterion

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} Q \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}^{\prime} B_{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{u}\right) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates transposition, and $F, G, Q=Q^{\prime}$ and $B_{\varepsilon}=B_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\prime}$ are constant matrices, with the pair $F$ and $G$ amenable to stabilization [1].

Matrix $B_{\varepsilon}$ is assumed large. This definition is formalized by the introduction of the small parameter $\varepsilon$

$$
B_{\mathcal{E}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathbf{2}} B
$$

The use in this problem of the term "weak control" is related to that after the formal substitution $u=\varepsilon v$ system (1.1) is weakly controllable in the meaning given in [4]. The term "slowly damped system" means that matrix $F$ is nearly skewsymmetric, i. e. that $F=F_{0}+\varepsilon \Phi, F_{0}=\left(F-F^{\prime}\right) / 2 \gg\left(F+F^{\prime}\right) / 2=\varepsilon \Phi$. The use of this term is explained by that the equations which define the motion of an undamped mechanical system with $n$ degrees of freedom can be reduced to a system of $2 n$ differential equations of the first order with a skew-symmetric matrix (see, e. g. , [5]).

The solution of the problem of optimal control synthesis for system (1.1) that satisfies criterion (1.2) reduces to finding the solution of the Riccati matrix algebraic equation (see, e. g., [1D

$$
\begin{equation*}
P F+F^{\prime} P-\varepsilon P G B^{-1} G^{\prime} P+\varepsilon Q=0, \quad P=\varepsilon S \tag{1,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Certain problems of determination of solid body orientation also involve investigation of Riccati equations of a similar type [6]. In what follows we assume that there
exists for any $\varepsilon>0$ a solution of (1.3) for which roots of the characteristic polynomial of matrix $F-\varepsilon G B^{-1} G^{\prime} P$ lie in the left-hand half-plane.
2. Derivation of asymptoticrolations. Bass' formulas [1] and the perturbation theory [2] are used below for deriving the first approximation with respect to $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ of the solution of Eq. (1.3). The system of Euler's differential equations whose matrix is of the form

$$
Z=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
F_{0}+\varepsilon \Phi & -\varepsilon G B^{-1} G^{\prime}  \tag{2.1}\\
-\varepsilon Q & F_{0}-\varepsilon \Phi^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\| \quad\left(-F_{0}^{\prime}=F_{0}\right)
$$

corresponds to Eq. (1.3).
Let $\varphi(s)$ represent the result of factorization of the characteristic polynomial of matrix (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\|Z-E s\|=\varphi(s) \varphi(-s) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the roots of $\varphi(s)$ lying in the left-hand half-plane. Then according to [1] the sought matrix $P$ satisfies Bass' relation

$$
\varphi(Z)\left\|\begin{array}{l}
E  \tag{2.3}\\
P
\end{array}\right\|=0
$$

Let us represent matrix $Z$ and the polynomial $\varphi(s)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=Z_{0}+\varepsilon W, \quad Z_{0}=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
F_{0} & 0 \\
0 & F_{0}
\end{array}\right\|, \quad W=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi & -G B^{-1} G^{\prime} \\
-Q & -\Phi^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\|  \tag{2,4}\\
& \varphi(s)=s^{n}+\delta p_{1} s^{n-1}+\left(p_{20}+\delta p_{2}\right) s^{n-2}+\delta p_{3} s^{n-3}+\ldots \\
& \ldots+\left(p_{n 0}+\delta p_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and take into acount that for $\varepsilon=0$

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}(s)=\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}(-s)=\operatorname{det}\left\|F_{0}-E s\right\|=s^{n}+p_{20} s^{n-2}+\ldots+p_{n 0}
$$

where the absence of odd powers of $s$ is due to the skew-symmetry of matrix $F_{0}$ and the polynomial $\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}(s)$ has $n / 2$ pairs of imaginary roots $\pm i v_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots$,
$n / 2)$ ). The quantities $\delta p_{k}(k=1, \ldots, n)$ in (2.4) are small when $\varepsilon$ is fairly small. Taking into account that

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}\left(Z_{0}\right)=Z_{0}^{n}+p_{20} Z_{0}^{n-2}+\ldots+p_{n 0} E=0
$$

we represent formula (2.3) with an accuracy to smalls of second order with respect to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { e in the form }\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{0}^{k-1} \varepsilon W Z_{0}^{n-k}\right)+\delta p_{1} Z_{0}^{n-1}+p_{20}\left(\sum_{k=3}^{n} Z_{0}^{k-3} \varepsilon W Z_{0}^{n-k}\right)+\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.\delta p_{3} Z_{0}^{n-3}+\cdots+\delta p_{n} E\right]\left\|\begin{array}{l}
E \\
P
\end{array}\right\|=0
$$

Formula (2.5) shows that the basic difficulty in using Bass' formula (2.3) relates to the necessity of a reasonably accurate determination of coefficients of the polynomial $\varphi(s)$ (or of corrections $\delta p_{k}$ to the coefficients). The problem can be considered solved when corrections to roots $\pm i v_{j}$ of polynomial $\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}(s)$ have been determined with reasonable accuracy. Let us determine these corrections. We seek the roots
$\mu_{i}$ of the characteristic polynomial of matrix $Z$ in the form of series in powers of $\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
& l=2 k-1, \quad \mu_{l}=i v_{k}+\varepsilon \lambda_{1 l}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{2,6}\\
& l=2 k, \quad \mu_{l}=-i v_{k}+\varepsilon \lambda_{1 l}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

Corrections $\varepsilon \lambda_{1 l}$ cannot be determined hy the direct application of results of the perturbation theory [2] to matrix $Z$, since $Z_{0}$ is not a self-conjugate transformation. Because of this we consider matrix

$$
Z^{2}=Z_{0}^{2}+Z_{0} \varepsilon W+\varepsilon W Z_{0}+(\varepsilon W)^{2}=Z_{0}^{2}+\varepsilon T
$$

to which it is possible to apply the results of [2], since matrix $Z_{0}{ }^{2}$ is symmetric. The roots of characteristic polynomials of matrices $Z_{0}{ }^{2}$ and $Z^{2}$ are, respectively, $-v_{j}{ }^{2}$ and $\mu_{l}{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& l=2 k-1, \quad \mu_{l}^{2}=-v_{k}^{2}+\varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}=2 i \lambda_{1 l} v_{k}  \tag{2.7}\\
& l=2 k, \quad \mu_{i}^{2}=-v_{k}^{2}+\varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}=-2 i \lambda_{1 l} v_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

where the corrections $\varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}$ can be determined by the method of the perturbation theory.

Let us determine $\varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}$. We assume that $-v_{k}{ }^{2}$ is a $2 r$-multiple eigenvalue of matrix $Z_{0}{ }^{2}$. We denote by $\mathbf{f}_{1}{ }^{k}, \mathbf{f}_{2}{ }^{k}, \ldots, \mathrm{f}_{2 r}{ }^{k}$ the set of orthonormal eigenvectors of matrix $Z_{0}{ }^{2}$ that correspond to - $v_{k}{ }^{2}$. According to [2] corrections $\varepsilon \gamma_{1 k}$ are eigenvalues of matrix $D_{k}=\left\|d_{m n}{ }^{k}\right\|$ whose elements are scalar products of vectors $\varepsilon T_{n}{ }^{k}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{m}{ }^{k}$

$$
d_{m n}^{k}=\left(\varepsilon T \mathrm{f}_{n}^{k} \cdot \mathrm{f}_{m}^{k}\right)
$$

Hence corrections $\varepsilon \gamma_{1 k}$ are roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\|D_{k}-\varepsilon \gamma_{1 k} E\right\|=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (2.6) and (2.8) make possible the determination of roots of matrix $Z$ in the first approximation and, consequently, also corrections $\delta p_{k}$ to coefficients of polynomial $\varphi(s)$. If the first approximation corrections are such that all roots $\mu_{l}$ have nonzero real parts, formulas (2.5) makes it possible to find the approximate solution of Eq. (1.3). Since for the obtained approximate value of $P$ the roots of the characteristic polynomial of matrix $F-\varepsilon G B^{-1} G^{\prime} P$ lie in the left-hand half-plane (they coincide with those roots $\mu_{l}(l=1, \ldots, n)$ whose real parts are negative), hence using the Newton - Rafson scheme [1] it is possible to further refine the obtained
value of $P$. If, however, in the first approximation there are imaginary roots among $\mu_{l}$, subsequent approximations must be used for determining $P$.
3. Approximatesolution of theproblem of control of asingle oscillator. The equations of motion of the controlled object are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\cdot}=y, \quad y^{\cdot}=-x-\varepsilon \beta y+u \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the performance criterion by formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(q_{1} x^{2}+q_{2} y^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2} u^{2}\right) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrices in Eq. (1.3) and subsequent relationships are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -\varepsilon \beta
\end{array}\right\|, \quad G=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right\|, \quad B_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{-2}, \quad B=1 \\
& Q=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
q_{1} & 0 \\
0 & q_{2}
\end{array}\right\|, \quad G B^{-1} G^{\prime}=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right\|, \quad F_{0}=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right\| \\
& \Phi=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & -\beta
\end{array}\right\|, \quad Z_{0}=\left\|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right\|, W=\left\|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\beta & 0 & -1 \\
-q_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -q_{2} & 0 & \beta
\end{array}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\varepsilon=0$ the polynomial $\varphi(s)$ is defined by

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon=0}(s)=\operatorname{det}\left\|F_{0}-E s\right\|=s^{2}+1
$$

Since the roots of this polynomial are $\pm i$, hence $p_{20}=1$ and $v=1$. Let us determine the corrections to the zero approximation of roots of matrix $Z$.

Matrix $Z_{0}{ }^{2}=-E$ has 1 as its unique eigenvalue whose multiplicity is four. Vectors

$$
\mathrm{f}_{1}=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\|, \quad \mathrm{f}_{2}=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\|, \quad \mathbf{f}_{3}=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right\|, \quad \mathbf{f}_{4}=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right\|
$$

can be chosen as the set of orthonormal vectors corresponding to that eigenvalue.
Matrix $D=\left\|d_{n m}\right\|$ is equal $\varepsilon T$; it can be defined with an accuracy to $\varepsilon^{2}$ in the form

$$
D=\varepsilon T \approx \varepsilon W Z_{0}+Z_{0} \varepsilon W=\varepsilon\left\|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -\beta & 0 & -1 \\
\beta & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) & 0 & \beta \\
\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) & 0 & -\beta & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

Note that matrix $D$ can be represented in the form of the Kronecker product of two second order matrices

$$
\varepsilon W Z_{0}+Z_{0} \varepsilon W=\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\varepsilon \\
\varepsilon & 0
\end{array}\right\| \times\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & 1 \\
\left(q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}\right) & -\beta
\end{array}\right\|
$$

hence the eigenvalues of matrix $D$ (corrections $\varepsilon \gamma_{11}$ ) are the products of eigenvalues of matrix cofactors whose eigenvalues are $\pm i \varepsilon$ and $\pm \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{1}+q_{2}}$. Thus

$$
\varepsilon \gamma_{1 l}= \pm i \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}}, \quad \varepsilon \lambda_{1 l}= \pm{ }^{1} / 2 \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}}
$$

and, consequently, the first approximation roots $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ of polynomial $\varphi(s)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1,2}=-1 / 2 \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{1}+q_{2}} \pm i \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same asymptotic formula for roots $\mu_{1,2}$ can be obtained using the results [of analysis] in [7], according to which in the notation used here the following relations between coefficients $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, roots $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ of polynomial $\varphi(s)$, and roots $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ of the characteristic polynomial of matrix $F$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{2} q_{1}=\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{2} q_{2}=\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

are valid. Let

$$
\mu_{1,2}=-\left(\varepsilon \rho_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \rho_{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right) \pm i\left(1+\varepsilon \eta_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \eta_{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)
$$

Since

$$
\theta_{1,2}=-\varepsilon \beta / 2 \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} \beta^{2} / 4-1}
$$

hence with an accuracy within $\varepsilon^{2}$ from (3.4) we have

$$
\eta_{1}=0, \quad q_{1}=2 \rho_{1}^{2}+4 \eta_{2}, \quad q_{2}=2 \rho_{1}^{2}-4 \eta_{2}-\beta^{2}
$$

Hence the approximate expression for roots $\mu_{1,2}$ is of the form (3.3) accurate within $\varepsilon^{2}$.

Let us now determine the corrections of coefficients $\delta p_{1}$ and $\delta p_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta p_{1}=-\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)=\varepsilon \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{1}+q_{2}} \\
& \delta p_{2}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-p_{20}=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-1=\varepsilon^{2}\left(\beta^{2}+q+q_{2}\right) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (2.5) accurate to within smalls of second order is of the form

$$
\left[\varepsilon W Z_{0}+Z_{0} \varepsilon W+\delta p_{\mathrm{t}} Z_{0}\right] \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& E \\
& P
\end{aligned}\right. \|=0
$$

This expression is concretely defined by the following two matrix equations :

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\Lambda_{-}
\end{array} \Lambda_{-} \quad 0 \quad\right\| \begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\varepsilon \\
\varepsilon & 0
\end{array} \| P=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\varepsilon\left(q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}\right) \\
\| \varepsilon\left(q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right\|-\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \Lambda_{+} \| P=0 \\
\Lambda_{ \pm}=\varepsilon \beta \pm \varepsilon \sqrt{\beta^{2}+q_{\mathrm{I}}+q_{2}} & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

each of which can be used for determining matrix $P$.
Thus the first approximation of the sought solution of Eq. (1.3) for the considered here problem is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\Lambda_{-} E \varepsilon^{-1}, \quad S=-\Lambda_{-} E \varepsilon^{-2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us evaluate the quantity of the approximation obtained by these formulas. Let the damping in system (3.1) be fixed, i.e. $0<\varepsilon \beta=\beta_{0}=$ const. The Liapunov equation into which the Riccati equation (1.3) is transformed for $\varepsilon=0$ has the solution

$$
s=\frac{q_{1}+q_{2}}{2 \beta_{0}} E+\frac{q_{1}}{2}\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right\|+\frac{\beta_{0} q_{1}}{2}\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

The approximate expression for $S$ in (3.5) approaches the first term (which for small $\beta_{0}$ is the principal one) of this solution when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, since

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\beta_{0}+\sqrt{\beta_{0}^{2}+8^{2} q_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}}}{\varepsilon^{2}} E=\frac{q_{1}+q_{2}}{2 \beta_{0}} E
$$

In the absence of damping in system (3.1), i. e. $\beta=0$, Eq. (1.3) is satisfied with an accuracy within $\varepsilon$ by any matrix which is a multiple of the unit matrix ( $P=a E$ ). This becomes clear if we substitute in (2.1) matrix $a E$ for $P$, which yields the following formula for the discrepancy matrix:

$$
a F+a F^{\prime}-a^{2} \varepsilon G B^{-1} G^{\prime}+\varepsilon Q=\varepsilon\left\|\begin{array}{cc}
q_{1} & 0 \\
0 & q_{2}-a^{2}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

Note that the coefficient $a=\sqrt{q_{1}+q_{2}}$, which corresponds to (3.5) does not, generally speaking, minimize the norm of the discrepancy matrix, although even in this case formula (3.5) may yield a good approximation. Thus, for example, for $q_{1}=1, q_{2}=3, \varepsilon=0.1$, and $\beta=0$, from (3.5) we have

$$
P=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right\|
$$

The exact solution of Eq. (2.1) is in this case of the form

$$
P=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
2.01 & 4.99 \cdot 10^{-2} \\
4.99 \cdot 10^{-2} & 2.0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

Note that for $\beta=0$ the asymptotic formula for $p$ that coincides with (3.5) (with accuracy within $e$ ) may be derived from the exact solution of this problem (see [8], Example 2)

$$
P=A\{C+\alpha H\}^{-1}, \quad A=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon q_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon q_{2}
\end{array}\right\|, \quad C=\left\|\begin{array}{rl}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.H=\| \begin{array}{c}
-q_{1} a-b d^{-1} \\
b \quad-q_{2} a
\end{array}\right], \quad a=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2} d\right)}{4 d \tau} \\
& b=1 / \varepsilon^{2} \varepsilon^{-2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)\left(q_{1}+q_{2} d\right), \quad \alpha=d\left[8 q_{1} q_{2} / 4+1 / 4 \varepsilon^{2} \tau^{-2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} \\
& \tau=\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} l_{2}+2 d-2}, \quad d=\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2} q_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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